Toxic Leadership Is a System, Not a Person (Part 2): The Story of David Chen

Von Christian Rook

The Leadership Perspective: Building Systems of Protection

Sarah's story (Part 1, WUC 035) is a testament to personal resilience – but it also exposes a deeper failure in leadership.

Where was her boss's boss?

Where were the systems designed to protect employees from toxic behavior?

Where was the intervention that could have prevented months of psychological harm?

Enter David Chen, regional director and Sal Mandolino's direct supervisor. David oversaw twelve teams across three locations. Like many leaders, he was caught up in the demands of daily operations – and largely unaware of the dynamics unfolding within Sal's team.

On paper, everything looked fine: solid performance metrics, no formal complaints, projects delivered on time.

What David missed were the quiet warning signs – signs that, had they been recognized earlier, could have prevented Sarah's downward spiral.

The Wake-Up Call

David's turning point came from an unexpected source: exit interview data.

Over the course of just four months, three high-performing team members left Sal's team. Their reasons? Officially, "lack of growth opportunities" and "poor cultural fit."

David Chen, Regional Director

That got David's attention.

He began to dig deeper – and what he found was alarming:

Engagement scores were lower than in any other team. **Sick leave** was unusually high. And in **anonymous surveys**, subtle but telling comments appeared again and again, things like, *"We are constantly walking on eggshells to avoid making leadership angry."*

The final wake-up call came when David sat in on one of Sal's team meetings.

He saw Sal interrupt Sarah mid-presentation. He noticed the way other team members avoided eye contact. He felt the tension in the room.

But what struck him most was Sarah herself – her body language, the way she seemed to shrink into herself.

She was barely recognizable from the confident, capable professional he knew from one-on-one meetings.

That evening, something clicked for David. He realized he had underestimated one of his most essential responsibilities as a leader: **Creating an environment where people feel safe – and are able to do their best work.**

Early Warning Systems: What Leaders Need to Pay Attention To

David's experience taught him a crucial lesson: Toxic workplace dynamics don't appear overnight. They creep in, slowly, step by step, through a series of increasingly unacceptable behaviors that, over time, start to feel "normal."

Effective leadership means recognizing this progression early—and stepping in before real damage is done.

Truly impactful leaders develop a keen sense for these early warning signs—and they act before the harm takes hold.

Behavioral Red Flags: What Leaders Should Watch For

Individual Behavior Changes:

- High performers suddenly go quiet in meetings or begin avoiding them altogether

- Shifts in communication style — shorter emails, fewer unsolicited ideas or suggestions

- Physical signs of stress — changes in posture, energy levels, or overall demeanor

- Increased sick days or remote work requests without clear reasons

- Previously engaged team members become withdrawn or isolated

Shifts in Team Dynamics:

- Meetings feel tense or uncomfortable, even when discussing routine topics

- Side conversations and hallway chats stop abruptly when certain people walk by

- Uneven participation — a few voices dominate while others go silent

- Lack of creative thinking or innovative problem-solving during team discussions

- Subtle signs of fear — people check reactions before speaking or over-explain simple points

Changes in Performance Patterns:

- Reduced risk-taking or reluctance to suggest creative solutions

- Over-documenting decisions and conversations as a form of self-protection

- More frequent mistakes driven by anxiety or hypervigilance

- Talented team members requesting transfers or quietly exploring other opportunities

- Overall morale declines, even as performance metrics are still being met

Intervention Strategies: When and How to Step In

David realized that effective intervention requires both courage and nuance. Leaders need the willingness to address problematic behavior early, before it spirals. At the same time, fairness and structure are essential. Even difficult conversations deserve clarity, respect, and process.

Immediate Actions (Weeks 1–2):

When David became aware of the problematic dynamics, he took swift and deliberate action, focusing on three key areas:

1. Show Up – Visibly and Purposefully

David began attending Sal's team meetings regularly—not as a micromanager, but as a present and observant leader.

This gave him firsthand insight into the team's atmosphere and interactions. It also

sent a clear message: leadership is paying attention.

2. Listen – Honestly and Openly

He met with each team member one-on-one. These weren't long formal sessions, but short, informal check-ins in a safe setting.

David asked open-ended questions like:
"How are things going for you here?"
"What would help you be more effective?"
"Are there team dynamics that might not be obvious from the outside?"

3. Reset Expectations

In a direct conversation with Sal, David clearly outlined his expectations for respectful leadership.

He emphasized that respect, fairness, and psychological safety were non-negotiable, and that results achieved through toxic behavior were not true success, and would not be tolerated.

Systemic Changes (Months 1–3):

Focusing on Psychological Safety

David introduced a simple monthly check-in system where team members could provide anonymous feedback on team dynamics, communication quality, and their overall sense of psychological safety.
The goal wasn't to grade performance, but to track the emotional pulse of the team and make cultural shifts visible over time.

Clear Communication Standards

Together with HR, David developed a set of practical behavioral guidelines for everyday interactions. What does "respectful" actually look like in daily team life? What distinguishes constructive feedback from destructive criticism? How should disagreements be handled? These questions weren't addressed in theory, but with real-life examples the team could relate to.

Beyond HR: Multiple Channels for Feedback

David knew that not everyone feels comfortable speaking up, especially in teams under pressure. So, he created multiple pathways for feedback beyond traditional HR structures:

Regular skip-level meetings, an anonymous suggestion system, and access to internal coaches like Anna who could provide confidential, neutral support.

Leadership Can Be Learned—But It's Not Optional

Sal was enrolled in an intensive leadership coaching program focused on emotional intelligence, communication, and team development.

David made it crystal clear: progress in these areas was not optional, it was a requirement.

Creating a Culture of Protection

David's most important realization:
Toxic dynamics can't be fixed by focusing on individual "problem managers" alone.
What's truly needed is an organizational foundation—systems, structures, and rituals that make healthy relationships the norm, not the exception.

Building a Proactive Culture—Step by Step

1. Model the Behavior You Expect

David started every team meeting with genuine appreciation—highlighting specific contributions, fresh ideas, and great collaboration.

He showed curiosity for diverse perspectives, asked thoughtful questions, and was open about what he didn't know or when he made a mistake.

That openness created space for trust and authenticity.

2. Make Gratitude a Leadership Habit

Among leadership teams, David introduced a new ritual: the "appreciation round."

Each leader came prepared with an example of an employee who had gone above and be-

yond, solved a problem creatively, or simply demonstrated strong team spirit.

This wasn't about celebrating heroes, it was about recognizing values in action.

3. Boundaries Are a Sign of Responsibility, Not Disloyalty

David made it clear: setting professional boundaries—logging off on time, being truly offline during vacation—is not a weakness. It's responsible leadership.

He modeled that behavior himself and supported others in maintaining their well-being and energy.

4. Mistakes? A Chance to Learn

Instead of assigning blame, David fostered an environment where mistakes could be reflected on, shared, and used as learning moments.

Smart risk-taking wasn't punished, it was acknowledged.

Career development was encouraged—even when it meant that high performers might eventually move on to new roles.

Supporting Individual Recovery and Growth

When David learned about Sarah's work with Anna, he had a powerful realization:

An organization's responsibility doesn't end with addressing toxic behavior.

In fact, it truly begins when it commits to helping those affected rebuild their professional confidence and find their footing again.

Targeted, Meaningful Support:

1. Easy Access to Coaching – No Barriers

David advocated for an increased coaching budget and made professional development coaching available to any employee who requested it, no manager approval required, no need to justify the request.

If you wanted support, you got it.

2. Space to Rebuild

For employees like Sarah who needed to regain their confidence, David created thoughtful opportunities: Projects that played to their strengths, presentations to supportive audiences, and gradual re-entry into the spotlight—always at their own pace.

3. Recognition That Matters

David made sure Sarah's contributions were seen. He highlighted her work in meetings and openly acknowledged her journey, not as a heroic narrative, but as a powerful example of resilience, growth, and restored trust within the organization.

4. Growth With a Safety Net

Together with Sarah, David identified stretch assignments—challenging tasks designed to foster growth, but supported by coaching, mentoring, and a strong safety net. That way, her development wasn't a gamble, it was a supported, strategic next step.

Long-Term Systemic Change

What began as a situation between two individuals sparked real transformation across the entire organization.

David's experience with Sarah and Sal became a turning point, leading to a fundamental rethinking of key leadership policies and cultural norms.

1. New Standards for Leadership

Hiring and promotion decisions were no longer based solely on performance metrics. Leaders were now evaluated on their ability to develop others, foster psychological safety, and actively support team morale.

2. Bottom-Up Feedback Became Standard

Regular 360-degree feedback from direct reports became a required part of every leadership evaluation.
Poor scores in people leadership triggered mandatory individual development plans—no exceptions.

3. Zero Tolerance for Chronically Toxic Leadership

Clear policies were introduced to address managers whose teams showed recurring warning signs: high turnover, low engagement, or stress-related absences.
The approach shifted from reactive to proactive, early intervention became the norm.

4. Prevention Over Repair

All leaders received training on how to recognize workplace trauma, understand the psychological impact of toxic behavior, and build environments grounded in psychological safety and well-being. The goal: to prevent harm before it happens—not just fix it after the fact.

Measuring Success: Beyond Traditional Metrics

David broadened his understanding of team health, looking far beyond standard KPIs.
He introduced new indicators to get a more complete, human-centered view of organizational well-being:

- **Psychological Safety:** Regular engagement surveys measured how safe team members felt speaking up.

- **Voluntary Turnover:** He tracked who was leaving—and dug into exit interview themes for deeper insights.

- **Internal Mobility:** Promotion and transfer rates within each team revealed whether talent was being retained and developed.

- **Health and Well-being:** He monitored patterns in sick leave, stress-related absences, and workload imbalances.

- **Innovation Metrics:** How many new ideas were submitted? How many were actually implemented?

- **Cross-Team Collaboration:** He looked at how effectively knowledge and resources were shared across departments.

The Domino Effect: Real Transformation

Within just 18 months, David's region saw remarkable results:

- **Engagement scores increased by 35%.**

- **Voluntary turnover dropped by 50%.**

- **Innovation activity saw a significant boost.**

But the most meaningful shift was personal:

Employees like Sarah began to thrive. They stepped into greater responsibility, became mentors to peers facing similar challenges, and actively shaped a culture of psychological safety.

Sarah herself went on to present to senior leadership, sharing how coaching and boundary-setting had been crucial for her growth and performance.

As for **Sal Mandolino**, who could not align with the new leadership standards, **he chose to exit the organization**. His departure was handled professionally but sent a clear message:

Toxic behavior, no matter the short-term results, has no place here.

The Leadership Mandate: Questions for Reflection

Sarah's story—and David's response—raise questions every leader should reflect on honestly:

- **How would you even know** if someone on your team were experiencing what Sarah went through?

- **What signals do you see – or miss –** when a toxic dynamic begins to take hold? What structures are in place to help you intervene early?

- **How do you ensure** that leaders are evaluated not only by their results—but also by *how* they achieve them?

- **What would change** if psychological safety held the same weight in your organization as financial performance?
- And finally, on a personal level:
  In what moments do you model the behaviors you hope to see throughout your organization?

Conclusion

Sarah's journey—from powerlessness to strength—shows the incredible resilience of the human spirit. But her story also reveals an uncomfortable truth:

Resilience should not be the primary defense against toxic workplace cultures.

It should not be the individual's job to recover from harm that the system should have prevented in the first place.

Organizations don't just have the *opportunity,* they have the *responsibility* to create environments where people like Sarah can thrive from the beginning, not rebuild themselves after breaking down.

The deepest form of workplace gratitude isn't for a bonus or a title. It's the quiet, lasting appreciation employees feel for leaders who act with foresight and courage, leaders who create conditions where people can grow, not just survive. Leaders who understand that true, lasting success must be built on dignity, safety, and respect.

David didn't start out as the hero of this story. He was a busy manager, attentive but distant.

Only through observation, reflection, and deliberate action did he become a culture shaper. His transformation shows what's possible:

Change begins the moment a leader recognizes the full scope of their impact.

The key question is not whether we can afford to invest in this kind of change.

The question is: **Can we afford not to?**

The human and business costs of inaction—every silent resignation, every avoidable departure, every lost idea—are high. And they are preventable. Every unchecked toxic dynamic is not just an isolated case—it's a **system failure**.

Every employee who suffers in silence is a missed opportunity for trust, belonging, and performance.

Every talented person who leaves because they weren't protected is not just a personal loss—it's an organizational mistake.

Change begins with a decision.
A decision to stop seeing work relationships as a necessary evil, and start seeing them as the foundation of everything that lasts: innovation, collaboration, growth.

Leadership that places human flourishing alongside business results doesn't just transform teams or companies, it redefines what work can be: **a place not just for output, but for human growth.**

Sarah's story doesn't end with her forgiving Sal. It ends with her recognizing her own worth and developing the skills to protect it.
David's story continues, as a leader who builds systems that make a difference.

Together, their stories point to a different kind of workplace—one where toxic behavior isn't just unacceptable, but **unthinkable**. Not by luck, but through **clear systems, conscious choices, and leadership that chooses humanity.**

The choice is yours:
Will you wait for the next "Sarah" to break before taking action?
Or will you start building the structures today that make her story unnecessary?

Christian Rook

Christian Rook
info@christianrook.com
www.christianrook.com